

February 1, 2017

Technical Director

Director@fasb.org

File Reference No. 2016-370

Proposed Accounting Standards Update: Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity (Topic 480)

The California Society of CPA's ("CalCPA") Accounting Principles and Assurance Services Committee (the "Committee") is the senior technical committee of CalCPA. CalCPA has approximately 43,500 members. The Committee consists of 55 members, of whom 45 percent are from local or regional firms, 32 percent are from large multi-office CPA firms, 12 percent are sole practitioners in public practice, 6 percent are in academia and 5 percent are in international firms. Members of the Committee are with CPA firms serving a large number of public and nonpublic business entities, as well as many non-business entities such as not-for-profits, pension plans and governmental organizations.

Question 1: Do you agree that when classifying certain financial instruments with down round features, the down round feature should be excluded from the assessment of whether an instrument is indexed to an entity's own stock (in accordance with the guidance in Subtopic 815-40)? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.

The Committee agrees that the down round feature should be excluded from this assessment.

Question 2: Do you agree that for certain financial instruments with down round features, the effect of the down round feature should be recognized when it is triggered and that the approach for recognition should follow the classification (liability or equity) of the instrument? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.

The Committee agrees that the effects of the feature should be recognized when triggered and that the recognition approach should follow the classification of the instrument.

Question 3: The proposed amendments in paragraphs 480-20-30-1 through 30-2 describe how to measure the effect of the down round trigger. Do you agree with that approach? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.

The Committee agrees with the measurement approach.

Question 4: Do you agree that for certain financial instruments with down round features that have been triggered during the reporting period, an entity should disclose the fact that the feature has been triggered, the value of the effect of the down round being triggered, and the financial

statement line item in which that effect has been recorded? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.

The Committee agrees with the proposed disclosures.

Question 5: Do you agree that entities should apply the proposed guidance to outstanding instruments as of the effective date of the change, with no adjustments to prior periods presented, with the cumulative effect of the change recognized as an adjustment of the opening balance of retained earnings in the fiscal year or interim period of adoption? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives.

The Committee agrees with the cumulative effect presentation presented.

Question 6: How much time would be necessary to adopt the amendments in this proposed Update? Should early adoption be permitted? Would the amount of time needed to apply the proposed amendments by entities other than public business entities be different from the amount of time needed by public business entities?

The Committee does not have information as to the time necessary to adopt the amendments but believe they would be minimal to both public and nonpublic business entities. The Committee has not considered the impact of early adoption on comparability of entities with similar instruments, but generally supports early adoption.

Although the Board has not requested specific feedback on Part II of the Proposed ASU, the Committee supports the Board's decision.

We thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter. We would be glad to discuss our opinions with you further should you have any questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "A.J. Major III". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large initial "A" and "J" and the name "Major" followed by "III" with a horizontal line underneath.

A.J. Major

Chair

Accounting Principles and Assurance Services Committee

California Society of Certified Public Accountants